

Richard Crisp

rdcrisp@sbcglobal.net

# **Residual Image Management**

### **Residual Image**





- 5 Minute Dark Immediately following image
- 5 Minute Dark One hour following image



# **Residual Image**



Image with RBI

**Actual starfield** (the "nebula" was RBI)

# **Residual Image**



Five minute dark exposure following four light exposures

### **Residual Image Avoidance using Light Flood**



Image

Subsequent dark

 $\frac{1}{200}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$  and  $\frac{1}{200}$   $\frac{1}{200}$   $\frac{1}{200}$   $\frac{1}{200}$ With light flood: the dark shot noise is increased significantly

### **Non Uniformity of Trap Distribution**

These patterns can occur after shooting flats: **If not using RBI light flood, may not be able to remove pattern via calibration**



No Light Flood: Neg 15C, 300sec dark frame <sub>ECAIC 2018 Crisp and and some control of the case of </sub>

With Light Flood: Neg

# **FULL CALIBRATION (FLATS AND DARKS)**

**Without RBI management you can have uncalibratable images, due to partially filled** 



**Not Calibrated** (900 second exposure) Calibrated (900 second exposure)

# **Trapping Sources**

# **Trapping Sources**

- Epi interface trapping sites  $\bullet$ 
	- Spectral dependence
- Stress-induced trapping sites in lattice from crystal growth  $\bullet$ process
	- Swirling shapes in darks
- Random bulk defects in crystal lattice  $\bullet$ 
	- No spectral dependence or swirling shapes



ECAIC 2018 Crisp 4/20/2018 10

**Residual** 

### **Silicon Boule Manufacturing (Czochralski Process)**

Boule is rotated as pulled from melt



# **Wafer Mapping Example**





### ON-Semi KAF16803





# **Amplifier Luminescence wo/w Light Flood**



1800sec dark frame

### **Amplifier Luminescence Root Cause**

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Sensor Array is saturated at power-up

- Output Source Follower Transistor is in Pinchoff region (high drain electric field)
- High drain field causes impact ionization leading to luminescence at NIR wavelengths
- This occurs at power up and may not occur again (can be a one-shot occurrence)
- Light from luminescence loads nearby trapping sites and gradually decays. If sensor cold then decay is very slow (like RBI: same traps are loaded)

### **Amplifier Luminescence Root Cause**

#### **MOSFET** in Pinchoff (saturation) Regime

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

curve) as a function of gate bias at  $V_{DS} = 1.3$  V.

Saturation regime: VDS > (VGS - VT)

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Characterizing Sensor Charge Trapping**

### **TRAP CHARACTERIZATION**

- Determine Trap Capacity
- Determine dark shot noise vs time for different temperatures with and without light flood mitigation
- Determine maximum practical exposure time (vs temperature) with and without Light Flood Mitigation

### **IMPORTANT METRIC: MAXIMUM PRACTICAL EXPOSURE LIMIT**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

### *Exposure limit: Dark Shot Noise > Read noise*

# **Trapped Charge PTC Investigation Methodology**

### **Use Photon Transfer Methods (my Friday night workshop)**

- Use PTC characterization data for Read Noise and Camera Gain measurement
- Measure Dark Shot Noise versus Time
- Two major cases: with and without light flood
- Examine at -15, -20, -25, -30, -35 & -40C operating temperature

$$
Total\_noise = \sqrt{Read\_noise^2 + Dark\_shot\_noise^2}
$$
 (1)

$$
Dark\_shot\_noise = \sqrt{Total\_noise^2 - Read\_noise^2}
$$
 (2)

$$
Dark\_shot\_noise = \sqrt{Total\_dark\_signal}
$$
 (3)

 $Total\_dark\_signal = Thermal\_dark\_signal + Trap\_leakage$  (4)

For no-light flood case, Trap\_leakage is zero:

$$
Total\_dark\_signal = Thermal\_dark\_signal
$$
 (5)

### **ESTABLISHING A BASELINE: DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE**

#### • **Collect non light-flood dark data**

- Start camera from power-off regime with sensor at room temperature
- Leave cooler off: wait 5 minutes, then take 100 bias frames and discard
- Enable cooler: let temperature stabilize
- Collect pairs of identical darks: two each of bias and various timed dark frames (60s, 300s, 600s, 900s, 1200s, 1800s) without using Light Flood
- Reduce sensor temperature and let stabilize (data collected at -15C to -40C in 5C steps
- Repeat the collection of pairs of darks

## **Noise Baseline Case: No Light Flood/No Trap Leakage**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **LIGHT FLOOD CASE: DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE**

#### • **Collect Light-flood dark data**

- Start camera from power-off regime with sensor at room temperature
- Enable cooler: let sensor temperature stabilize at target
- Collect set of pairs of darks: two each of bias and various timed dark frames (60s, 300s, 600s, 900s, 1200s, 1800s) **using Light Flood**
- Reduce sensor temperature and let stabilize (data collected at -15C to -40C in 5C steps
- Repeat the collection of pairs of darks

## **Calculating Trap Leakage**

To determine the trap leakage you use the thermal dark signal data from the non light-flooded case and the Total Noise from the lightflooded case

 $Trap\_leakage = Total\_noise^2 - Read\_noise^2 - Thermal\_dark\_signal$  (6)

### **Noise With Filled Traps: Light Flood Case**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### -40C: good for 15 minutes -25C: good for 5 minutes

RD Crisp 3/21/2016  $\blacksquare$ ECAIC 2018 Crisp  $\blacksquare$ 

### **Noise: With and Without Light Flood**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

www.narrowbandimaging.com rdcrisp@earthlink.net

# **Dark Signal With and Without Light Flood**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **SUMMARY OF RESULTS (FLI PROLINE 3200)**

![](_page_27_Picture_115.jpeg)

Read Noise  $= 5.4 e$ - $Kadc = 0.8668 e$ -/DN

ECAIC 2018 Crisp \*Maximum Practical Exposure Time  $_{4/20/2018}$   $_{4/20/2018}$   $_{28}$ 

Defined as that exposure time when the Dark Shot noise matches the Read Noise

### **Conclusions**

### **Light Flood Method is effective at mitigating residual image**

- Eliminates residual image
- Removes Dark Fixed Patterns from non-uniform trap distribution
- Avoids bad effects from Amplifier Luminescence
- Can reduce effects of radiation hits

### **Photon Transfer Methods can be used to characterize trap capacity and leakage characteristics**

- Trap leakage
- Trap capacity
- Maximum practical exposure time vs Temperature behavior

### **CMOS IMAGE SENSOR: MAJOR PERFORMANCE DIFFERENCES VS CCD**

### **CCD VS CMOS: JAGUAR VS LEOPARD**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Jaquar

 $\overrightarrow{P}$  Share

#### Species

The jaguar, is a wild cat species and the only extant member of the genus Panthera native to the Americas. The jaguar's present range extends from Southwestern United States and Mexico across much of Central America and south to Paraguay and northern Argentina. Though there are single cats now living within the western United States, the species has lar... +

#### W Wikipedia

Scientific name: Panthera onca

Weight: 123.46 pound (56 kg) - 211.64 pound (96 kg)

Lifespan: 12 years - 15 years (In wild)

Height: 24.80 inch (63 cm) - 29.92 inch (76 cm)

Body length: 47.24 inch (120 cm) - 76.77 inch (195 cm) (From nose to the base of the tail)

Territory size:  $9.65$  sq miles  $(25 \text{ km}^2) - 15.44$  sq miles  $(40 \text{ km}^2)$  (Female)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### Leopard

 $\sqrt{2}$  Share

The leopard is one of the five species in the genus Panthera, a member of the Felidae. The leopard occurs in a wide range in sub-Saharan Africa and parts of Asia and is listed as Vulnerable on the IUCN Red List because leopard populations are threatened by habitat loss and fragmentation, and are declining in large parts of the global range. In Hong Kong, Singap... +

#### W Wikipedia

Scientific name: Panthera pardus

Weight: 50.71 pound (23 kg) - 132.28 pound (60 kg) (Female) · 66.14 pound (30 kg) - 200.62 pound (91 kg) (Male)

Speed: 36.04 mph (58 km/h) (Running)

Lifespan: 12 years - 17 years on average

Height: 17.72 inch (45 cm) - 31.50 inch (80 cm)

Gestation period: 90 days - 105 days

### **ECAIC 2018 Crisp 10 Allong 14/20/2018**

# **CMOS: OFTEN LOWER READ NOISE THAN CCD**

#### **OUTPUT AMPLIFIER NOISE SOURCES**

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source follower for CCD drives the off-chip load: **needs a big transistor**

Trapping sites under large area gate electrode of source follower determine 1/F noise for CCD S-F. Large geometry transistor has many sites: behave as continuum of trapping-detrapping

Source follower for CMOS is in each pixel and drives small on-chip load: **uses tiny transistor**

For CMOS, tiny S-F transistor has only small # trapping sites: lower noise & looks like discrete events (called RTS: Random Telegraph Signal)

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

READ NOISE =  $0.97 e^{t}$  rms

![](_page_31_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **COMMON CMOS PIXEL ARCHITECTURES**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

4 Transistor DCDS possible (noise compensation) Image Lag Lower fill factor

3 Transistor No DCDS No Image Lag Higher fill factor

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

On Chip Binning not feasible with this array design

Amplifier / ADC per column is possible Can get very fast frame readout rates vs CCD, ie > 1000 frames/sec Can you store that much data? (**16Mpix \* 1000 f/s** = 16Gigapixels/sec \*  $16bits/pix =$ **32Gbytes/sec**)

*How many pins do you want and how much power is OK?*

ECAIC 2018 Crisp **ECAIC 2018 Crisp** 4/20/2018 **Depending on pixel/array design** 4120/2018 4/20/2018 33 Many other architectures / features possible (global snap shutter, A/D per pixel for HDR etc)

### **CMOS IMAGE LAG (NOT RBI!)**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

ECAIC 2018 Crisp **EDENT CONCRETE ASSESSMENT REMINDS YOU Of RBI but is different mechanism**  $\frac{4}{20/20/2018}$  34

### **CMOS OFFSET & RESET FPN**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

For many CMOS sensors, each pixel in a has its own amplifier The offset value of each pixel amplifier is a little different resulting in pixel to pixel offset FPN.

This can be removed on-chip depending on IC architecture (DCDS, digital correlated double sampling)

CCD usually has 1 to 4 amplifiers only

ECAIC 2018 Crisp 4/20/2018 35

 $K_{ADC}$ (e-/DN)=0.25

**CMOS V / e- NONLINEARITY** 

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

Reverse biased diode Capacitance vs Voltage (like sense node floating diffusion)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **CMOS: V/E NON-LINEARITY & FLAT FIELDING**

**CMOS V/e- NONLINEARITY** 

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

Photon Transfer Plots (Friday Workshop)

# **CMOS: V/E NON-LINEARITY: REMNANT FPN**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Photon Transfer Plots (Friday Workshop)

## **CMOS: V/E NON-LINEARITY: FLAT/SIGNAL DEPENDENT REMNANT FPN**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### *Imperfect flat fielding is the net result*

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

>10% Lens shading/rolloff is not unusual for wide FOV – big sensor combo

![](_page_37_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **CMOS often has lower read noise than CCD**

- Source follower noise is lower because transistor geometry is smaller
- Lower noise with equal QE results in less time to given SNR target

#### **CMOS Sensors can be read at very high speed**

- One or two Amplifiers & A/D per column is feasible for ultra fast frame rates (> 1000 frames/sec)
- Very difficult to store the high bandwidth data (32GByte/sec = 1000 frames sec of a 16 megapixel sensor with 16 bits/pixel)

#### **Some CMOS pixel architectures suffer from image lag**

- Reminds you of RBI but is a different mechanism
- Can be especially bad in high frame rate video applications

#### **CMOS noise sources behave differently than CCD**

- Each pixel has its own amplifier with its own offset and noise characteristics
	- Reset Noise
	- Offset FPN
		- Reset and Offset FPN can be corrected on-chip, depending on architecture
- RTS noise (ultimate noise floor)

#### **CMOS nonlinearities can be more severe than CCD**

- V/e- more severe vs CCD and that causes FPN to not be fully removed by flat fielding
- Can cause visible artifacts with as little as 10% lens intensity rolloff & high signal levels

### **HALF DAY CLASSES ATTEND SPIE OPTICS & PHOTONICS SAN DIEGO: AUG 19-23, 2018**

### CCD & CMOS Half day class

SPIE.

**SPIE Instructor Agreement Education Services Department** P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, WA 98227-0010 (USA) Telephone: (1) 360-676-3290 Fax: (1) 360-647-1445 E-mail: education@spie.org

#### 16 April 2018

Name: Richard Crisp

SPIE invites you to conduct the course, Introduction to CCD and CMOS Imaging Sensors and Applications (SC504), at SPIE Optics + Photonics, to be held in San Diego, California United States. Your course is scheduled from 8:30 am to 12:30 pm on 20 Aug 2018. Your signature and return of this form will formalize your acceptance of this invitation.

Search Program: Conference & Events CExhibitors & Products Q

**SPIE. OPTICS+** 

### Photon Transfer Half day class

SPIE.

16 April 2018

Name: Richard Crisp

**SPIE Instructor Agreement Education Services Department** P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, WA 98227-0010 (USA) Telephone: (1) 360-676-3290 Fax: (1) 360-647-1445 E-mail: education@spie.org

SPIE invites you to conduct the course, Digital Camera and Sensor Evaluation Using Photon Transfer (SC916), at SPIE Optics + Photonics, to be held in San Diego, California United States. Your course is scheduled from 1:30 pm to 5:30 pm on 20 Aug 2018. Your signature and return of this form will formalize your acceptance of this invitation.

![](_page_39_Figure_14.jpeg)

San Diego Convention Center

19 - 23 August 2018

e)

GO

网 办

San Diego, California, United States